

# FOREST MANAGEMENT AND STUMP-TO-FOREST GATE CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY SURVEILLANCE EVALUATION REPORT

## *Skogscertifiering Prosilva AB*

### **SCS-FM/COC-00153G**

Klostergatan 2B, 753 21 Uppsala, Sweden  
Anneli.sandstrom@skogscertifiering.se  
[www.skogscertifiering.se](http://www.skogscertifiering.se)

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
21 October 2016	20 October 2021

DATE OF FIELD EVALUATION
20-24 May, 11-12 June, 21 August 2019
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
7/October/2019

SCS Contact:  
**Brendan Grady** | Director  
Forest Management Certification  
+1.510.452.8000  
[bgrady@scsglobalservices.com](mailto:bgrady@scsglobalservices.com)

**SCS**global  
SERVICES

Setting the standard for sustainability™

2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA  
+1.510.452.8000 main | +1.510.452.8001 fax  
[www.SCSglobalServices.com](http://www.SCSglobalServices.com)

## Foreword

Cycle in annual surveillance evaluations				
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> annual evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> annual evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <sup>rd</sup> annual evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 <sup>th</sup> annual evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (expansion of scope, Major CAR audit, special audit, etc.):
<b>Name of Forest Management Enterprise (FME) and abbreviation used in this report:</b>				
Skogscertifiering Prosilva, Group Entity (GE)				

All certificates issued by SCS under the aegis of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) require annual evaluations to ascertain ongoing conformance with the requirements and standards of certification. A public summary of the initial evaluation is available on the FSC Certificate Database <http://info.fsc.org/>.

Pursuant to FSC and SCS guidelines, annual / surveillance evaluations are not intended to comprehensively examine the full scope of the certified forest operations, as the cost of a full-scope evaluation would be prohibitive and it is not mandated by FSC evaluation protocols. Rather, annual evaluations are comprised of three main components:

- A focused assessment of the status of any outstanding conditions or Corrective Action Requests (CARs; see discussion in section 4.0 for those CARs and their disposition as a result of this annual evaluation);
- Follow-up inquiry into any issues that may have arisen since the award of certification or prior to this evaluation; and
- As necessary given the breadth of coverage associated with the first two components, an additional focus on selected topics or issues, the selection of which is not known to the certificate holder prior to the evaluation.

### Organization of the Report

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Forest Stewardship Council. This section is made available to the public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs and policies applied to the forest, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the FSC Certificate Database (<http://info.fsc.org/>) no less than 90 days after completion of the on-site evaluation. Section B contains more detailed results and information for required FSC record-keeping or the use by the FME.

## Table of Contents

---

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY .....	4
1. GENERAL INFORMATION .....	4
1.1 Evaluation Team.....	4
1.2 Total Time Spent on Evaluation .....	4
1.3 Standards Used .....	4
2. CERTIFICATION EVALUATION PROCESS .....	4
2.1 Evaluation Itinerary, Activities, and Site Notes.....	4
2.2 Evaluation of Management Systems .....	10
3. CHANGES IN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES .....	10
4. RESULTS OF EVALUATION .....	10
4.1 Definitions of Major CARs, Minor CARs and Observations.....	10
4.2 History of Findings for Certificate Period.....	11
4.3 Existing Corrective Action Requests and Observations .....	11
4.4 New Corrective Action Requests and Observations .....	11
5. STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS .....	11
5.1 Stakeholder Groups Consulted .....	12
5.2 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Evaluation Team Responses .....	12
6. CERTIFICATION DECISION .....	12
7. ANNUAL DATA UPDATE .....	12
SECTION B – APPENDICES (CONFIDENTIAL) .....	17
Appendix 1 – List of FMUs Selected for Evaluation .....	17
Appendix 2 – Staff and Stakeholders Consulted.....	17
Appendix 3 – Additional Evaluation Techniques Employed .....	17
Appendix 4 – Pesticide Derogations .....	17
Appendix 5 – Forest Management Standard Conformance Table .....	17
Appendix 7 – Trademark Standard Conformance Table.....	17
SCS Trademark Annex for FMEs: FSC Trademarks, FSC-STD-50-001 V2-0.....	17
Appendix 8 – Group Management Program.....	17

## SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

### 1. General Information

#### 1.1 Evaluation Team

<b>Auditor name:</b>	Jan Attebring	<b>Auditor role:</b>	Lead Auditor
<b>Qualifications:</b>	PhD Forest Management. 15 years 'experience in Forest management audits. 35 years' experience in forest management research and consulting.		

#### 1.2 Total Time Spent on Evaluation

A. Number of days spent on-site assessing the applicant:	5+2+1
B. Number of auditors participating in on-site evaluation:	1
C. Number of days spent by any technical experts (in addition to amount in line A):	0
D. Additional days spent on preparation, stakeholder consultation, and follow-up:	1
<b>E. Total number of person days used in evaluation:</b>	<b>9</b>

#### 1.3 Standards Used

All standards used are available on the websites of FSC International ([www.fsc.org](http://www.fsc.org)) or SCS Global Services ([www.SCSglobalServices.com](http://www.SCSglobalServices.com)). All standards are available on request from SCS Global Services via the comment form on our website. When no national standard exists for the country/region, SCS Interim Standards are developed by modifying SCS's Generic Interim Standard to reflect forest management in the region and by incorporating relevant components of any Draft Regional/National Standard and comments from stakeholders. More than one month prior to the start of the field evaluation, SCS Draft Interim Standards are provided to stakeholders identified by FSC International, SCS, forest managers under evaluation, and the FSC National or Regional Office for comment. SCS's COC indicators for FMEs are based on the most current versions of the FSC Chain of Custody Standard, FSC Standard for Group Entities in Forest Management Groups (FSC-STD-30-005), and FSC Accreditation Requirements.

Standards used <i>NOTE: Please include the full standard name and Version number and check all that apply.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest Stewardship Standard(s), including version: Swedish V2-2, May 02, 2010
	<input type="checkbox"/> SCS COC indicators for FMEs, V7-0
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC Trademark Standard (FSC-STD-50-001 V2-0)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC standard for group entities in forest management groups (FSC-STD-30-005), V1-1
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:

### 2. Certification Evaluation Process

#### 2.1 Evaluation Itinerary, Activities, and Site Notes

<b>Date:</b> 20-24 May 2019	
<b>FMU / location / sites visited</b>	<b>Activities / notes</b>
	Opening Meeting: Introductions, client update, review scope of evaluation, audit plan, intro/update to FSC and SCS standards, confidentiality and public summary, conformance evaluation

	<p>methods and review of open CARs/OBS, emergency and security procedures for evaluation team, final site selection.</p>
Member S-1163	<p>Interview with the new manager. No change in strategy or forestry practise. The Forest Service has identified new key habitat and no cutting is allowed in old Pine forest with “silver stumps and</p> <p>Field visit to set aside area east of Smågan. Stand re-classified from mature for final felling (S2) to no felling allowed (S3). Elements of key habitat, “silver stumps”, old pine and spruce trees with hanging lichens (Usnea). Very few deciduous trees. Dry sandy soil. No HCVF area on the estate.</p>
Member S-1162	<p>Interview with manager. The manager pays visit to all planned final fellings for assessment of nature values and marked borders. Today 13.8 percent of the productive forest asrea is set aside for nature conservation (NO). A key habitat inventory will be performed using the Forest Service new guidelines and field check list. A reserve in Tandsjöberget is set aside by the managent for free development and is also classified as key habitat. This area is HCVF.</p> <p>Field visit to set aside area with old spruce and pine. Mostly very steep slope with minimum of cutting in the past. Dead trees lying and standing and abundance of tree lava (Usnea). Small hilltops with old pine on dry sandy soil and some rock outcrops.</p>
Member S-3556	<p>Interview with Manager. A Key habitat inventory was performed by the Forest service in 1998-99. A new assessment will be performed this summer by hired specialist using the new guidelines from the Forest service. This summer, 1500 ha will be covered.</p> <p>Field visit to set aside areas in Skalsberget and Uvberget. Steep terrain with no history of final felling. Old trees with Usnea lichens and dead standing and lying trees. Old set aside areas will be re-visited this summer by specialist for new assessment of nature conservation values.</p>
Member S-3128	<p>Interview with board members and forest manager. Community forest own by forest owners around Mora town. Two new nature reserves established for protection of sand lizard (Lacerta agilis). The reserves classified as HCVF. A total of 7.8 % is set aside today, mostly from the key habitat inventory made by the Forest service. The strategy is to get more deciduous trees, but in some areas there is not good conditions for deciduous. When possible, they try to promote deciduous in the regenerations. Social restrictions are described in the stand records.</p> <p>Internal audit by Prosilva officer was performed using an extensive checklist for both office and field observations.</p>

	<p>Field visit to Kerstinmyra. Key habitat in lower area with small creek. Old trees with lichens and mix of tree species. Abundance of dead wood, both stand and lying. Utmeland clear felling with short stumps for marking of a cross country ski track.</p>
<p>Member S-4443</p>	<p>Interview with the three owners of the estate. Three cousins own the property which is close to the home village. Owners are interested in protection of nature, cultural and social values related to the forest. A new management plan is under preparation as the old one expires next year. For regeneration, a mix of species are used to mitigate the damage from Mouse (Alces).</p> <p>Internal audit by Prosilva officer was performed using an extensive checklist for both office and field observations.</p> <p>Field visit to set aside areas close to village. Gentle slopes and small creeks with old trees and several deciduous tree species. Much of the area have been used for grazing in the past and holds several cultural values. Part of the estate is part of a natural reserve (HCVF) on the Blyberget.</p>
<p>Member S-3323</p>	<p>Interview with the forest owner and Siljan officer. The forest owner is member of the "Besparingsskog" which takes care of all silviculture operations and road maintenance. Areas set aside are old Pine stands and small islands of forest land in the bogland landscape. NO is 5,3 percent of the productive forest area. No HCVF on the estate.</p> <p>Prosilva officer performed the internal audit using their checklist and also informed the forest owner on a number of issues like rules regarding retention of windfalls, using external contractors, rules for NO and SO.</p> <p>Field visit to regeneration felling with borders to set aside areas. Protection of culture heritage (char cool mines) and good choice of retention trees, both pines and deciduous trees.</p>
<p>Member S-4667</p>	<p>Interview with the forest owner and Siljan Skog officer. The forest owner use Siljan for all operations and a new management plan is under preparation. Pre-commercial thinnings performed according to plan and new thinnings are planned. Most of the forest area is sandy dry-mesic soil with pine, and often difficult to find areas dominated by deciduous tree species.</p> <p>Internal audit by Prosilva covered the situation when external contractor is planned for pre-commercial thinnings. The forest owner must in such case prepared an operation description with clear reference to FSC requirements.</p> <p>Field visit to key habitat which is set aside. A gully in the Pine sandy soil landscape. Old Pine trees in the slope with some</p>

	<p>deciduous trees. On the bottom of the gully is a small creek with grasses and herbs growing. The gully is unique in the landscape and has biodiversity as well as cultural values.</p>
Member S-4143	<p>Interview with the forest owner (a professional forester). The Internal audit by Prosilva officer covered a whole range of subjects like change in area since last year, the FSC 3 pillars, possible measures in set aside areas, protection against beetle attacks, retention of windfalls, requirements for deciduous percentage etc.</p> <p>The forest owner reports that some areas probably is classified wrongly as mesic soil type but most probably is dry forest type. This affects the required area dominated by deciduous tree species.</p> <p>Field visit to stand designed for the 5% area dominated by deciduous. It is difficult to find suitable stands for deciduous domination. The visited stand has a good productivity for spruce but other suitable stand could not be found on the property.</p>
Member S-2842	<p>Interview with the forest owner and the forester from Weda. The estate is 63 ha of productive forest land of which 5.6 ha is set aside for nature conservation. No key habitat or HCVF on the property.</p> <p>Internal audit by Prosilva using the Prosilva check list. Areas covered were management plan, the use of external contractors, marking of cultural findings on the map, percentage of deciduous tree species, edge zones management, annual plan updating etc.</p> <p>Field visit to set aside area. Old growth spruce forest in steep terrain. Not classified as key habitat but has many of the required features of a key habitat.</p>
Member S-4635 B	<p>Interview with the forest owner and the forester from Weda. The forest owner takes great interest in the forest management and has attended several training courses in different aspects of forestry. All operations carried out by Weda after close cooperation with the forest owner. The management plan is prepared by te forest owner and approved by Weda.</p> <p>Review of the Prosilva Internal Audit covered the whole checklist including plan updating, mapping of interesting objects, thinning on cultural sites, social aspects, mix of tree species, etc.</p> <p>Field visit to areas within the 5 percent set aside. Old Pine on dry soil and several rock outcrops. Such dry sites with old Pine is not so common in the area and makes it a good choice for set aside.</p>
Member S-2885	<p>Interview with the forest owner and the forester from Weda. FSC certified this year. The property inherited and the owner strategy is the keep the forest in good shape and protect all the values of</p>

	<p>the forest. The property is 46 ha of which 6 percent is set aside for nature conservation.</p> <p>The Internal audit covered the retention of windfalls and the minimum volume to be left if the forest. The management plan was reviewed with updates, planned operations and areas for deciduous tree species.</p> <p>Field visit to a key habitat. Old spruce in steep terrain and large blocks. No cuttings have taken place since very long, due to the rough terrain. Plenty of dead trees on the ground and also some dead standing trees. Lichens (<i>Usnea</i> spp.) on the old spruce trees. The borders of the key habitat were modified after discussion with the Forest Service. Some part of the habitat did not have the qualities of a key habitat.</p>
<p><b>Date:</b> 11-12 Juni 2019</p>	
<p><b>FMU / location / sites visited</b></p>	<p><b>Activities / notes</b></p>
<p>Member S-4005</p>	<p>Interview with forest owner and review of management plan, updates, set aside areas, management proposals and nature conservation measures.</p> <p>Use only certified contractors. Also do some silviculture job himself. Updates the plan after operations using own copy of PCskog, the software used to prepare the management plan.</p> <p>No HCVF on the property. Set aside areas and deciduous percentage are ok.</p> <p>Field visit to Markenvägen. Set aside area between the main road and a hill, swampy area with mix of tree species with no cuttings in the past. To be left for free development. Regeneration stand where cutting and regeneration measures were performed before certification. Difficult situation with browsing and plenty of birch often dominating the pine seedlings.</p>
<p>Member S-4020</p>	<p>Interview with forest owner and review of management plan, updates, set aside areas, management proposals and nature conservation measures. No conflicts with</p> <p>Set aside areas are 5.9 and 7.8 % for the two estates included in the certificate. The percentage of deciduous tree species is very low today, but stands have been selected where it will be promoted in the coming pre-commercial thinnings. No HDVF on the property. No conflict with social or cultural interests.</p> <p>Same owner as previous member.</p>
<p>Member S-3730</p>	<p>Interview with forest owner and review of management plan, updates, set aside areas, management proposals and nature</p>



	<p>conservation measures. No HCVF on the estate. Good percentage of deciduous tree species to cover the minimum share of area dominated by deciduous. No issues related to social or cultural activities on or near the property. Only certified contractors are used for forestry operations.</p> <p>Field visit to Låset. A regeneration with pine seed trees and recently soil scarification performed. Two thinning stands with operations performed before certification.</p>
Member S-4512	<p>Interview with forest owner and review of management plan, updates, set aside areas, management proposals and nature conservation measures. A new management plan has been ordered and will be delivered this fall. 9.1 % is set aside in the old plan and some part of the property belongs to a nature reserve (HCVF). A local cycling club has signed contract with the forest owner for use of tracks on the property.</p> <p>Field visit to Hagen, a small refuge of forest in the agriculture landscape. High percentage of deciduous tree species and some old spruce trees. Management prescription is to maintain high percentage of deciduous and to keep edge zones open</p>
Member S-4382	<p>Interview with forest owner and review of management plan, updates, set aside areas, management proposals and nature conservation measures. A new management plan is just delivered. Set aside area and area with deciduous dominance pass 5 %. No HCVF. All forestry operations are carried out by WedaSkog. There is no conflict with any other interests on the property.</p> <p>Field visit to set aside area. Stand in the agriculture landscape close to settlement. Old birch stand with some undergrowth of Sorbus spp. Rich flora and open sight in all directions. Proposed to be managed through selective cutting to maintain the birch dominance.</p>
<b>Date:</b> 21 August 2019	
<b>FMU / location / sites visited</b>	<b>Activities / notes</b>
Prosilva office, Uppsala	Review of Prosilva Group Entity functions including the use of FSC trademarks. All relevant documents were sent to the audit team a week in advance for review. Interview with managing director and certification coordinators and certification officers. Presentation of Prosilva Internal Audit results and plan for improvements of routines.
	Closing Meeting Preparation: Auditor(s) consolidate notes, deliberate, and confirm evaluation findings.
	Closing Meeting: Review preliminary findings (potential non-conformities and observations) and discuss next steps.

## 2.2 Evaluation of Management Systems

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams with expertise in forestry, social sciences, natural resource economics, and other relevant fields to assess an FME's conformance to FSC standards and policies. Evaluation methods include reviewing documents and records, interviewing FME personnel and contractors, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of forest cover and harvest prescription types, observing implementation of management plans and policies in the field, and collecting and analyzing stakeholder input. When there is more than one team member, each member may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the assessment jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant field observations, interviews, stakeholder comments, and reviewed documents and records. Where consensus among team members cannot be achieved due to lack of evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section and/or in observations.

## 3. Changes in Management Practices

---

There were no significant changes in the management and/or harvesting methods that affect the FME's conformance to the FSC standards and policies.

Significant changes occurred since the last evaluation that may affect the FME's conformance to FSC standards and policies (*describe*):

## 4. Results of Evaluation

---

### 4.1 Definitions of Major CARs, Minor CARs and Observations

*Major CARs:* Major nonconformances, either alone or in combination with nonconformances of all other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant FSC Criterion given the uniqueness and fragility of each forest resource. These are corrective actions that must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major CARs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these nonconformances is typically shorter than for Minor CARs. Certification is contingent on the certified FME's response to the CAR within the stipulated time frame.

*Minor CARs:* These are corrective action requests in response to minor nonconformances, which are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most Minor CARs are the result of nonconformance at the indicator-level. Corrective actions must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

*Observations:* These are subject areas where the evaluation team concludes that there is conformance, but either future nonconformance may result due to inaction or the FME could achieve exemplary status through further refinement. Action on observations is voluntary and does not affect the maintenance of the certificate. However, observations can become CARs if performance with respect to the indicator(s) triggering the observation falls into nonconformance.

## 4.2 History of Findings for Certificate Period

FM Principle	Cert/Re-cert Evaluation	1 <sup>st</sup> Annual Evaluation <i>No findings</i>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Annual Evaluation <i>No findings</i>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Evaluation	4 <sup>th</sup> Annual Evaluation
P1			No findings		
P2			No findings		
P3					
P4	OBS 4.4.6, 4.4.7, 4.4.8; OBS 4.5.2	No findings			
P5					
P6	OBS 6.3.8, 6.3.9	No findings			
P7	Minor 7.1h; Minor 7.3.1		No findings		
P8				No findings	
P9				No findings	
P10					
COC for FM					
Trademark				No Findings	
Group	Minor 9.4	No findings	No findings	No Findings	
Other					

## 4.3 Existing Corrective Action Requests and Observations

No open findings from past audit.

## 4.4 New Corrective Action Requests and Observations

No new CARs were issued this year.

## 5. Stakeholder Comments

In accordance with SCS protocols, consultation with key stakeholders is an integral component of the evaluation process. Stakeholder consultation takes place prior to, concurrent with, and following field evaluations. Distinct purposes of such consultation include:

- To solicit input from affected parties as to the strengths and weaknesses of the FME’s management, relative to the standard, and the nature of the interaction between the FME and the surrounding communities.
- To solicit input on whether the forest management operation has consulted with stakeholders regarding identifying any high conservation value forests (HCVFs).

Stakeholder consultation activities are organized to give participants the opportunity to provide comments according to general categories of interest based on the three FSC chambers, as well as the SCS Interim Standard, if one was used.

## 5.1 Stakeholder Groups Consulted

Principal stakeholder groups are identified based upon results from past evaluations, lists of stakeholders from the FME under evaluation, and additional stakeholder contacts from other sources. Stakeholder groups who are consulted as part of the evaluation include FME management and staff, consulting foresters, contractors, lease holders, adjacent property owners, local and regionally-based social interest and civic organizations, purchasers of logs harvested on FME forestlands, recreational user groups, tribal members and/or representatives, members of the FSC National Initiative, members of the regional FSC working group, FSC International, local and regionally-based environmental organizations and conservationists, and forest industry groups and organizations, as well as local, state, and federal regulatory agency personnel and other relevant groups.

## 5.2 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Evaluation Team Responses

The table below summarizes the major comments received from stakeholders and the assessment team’s response. Where a stakeholder comment has triggered a subsequent investigation during the evaluation, the corresponding follow-up action and conclusions from SCS are noted below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FME has not received any stakeholder comments from interested parties as a result of stakeholder outreach activities during this annual evaluation.	
Stakeholder Comment	SCS Response

## 6. Certification Decision

The certificate holder has demonstrated continued overall conformance to the applicable Forest Stewardship Council standards. The SCS annual evaluation team recommends that the certificate be sustained, subject to subsequent annual evaluations and the FME’s response to any open CARs.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Comments:</b>	

## 7. Annual Data Update

<input type="checkbox"/> No changes since previous evaluation.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information in the following sections has changed since previous evaluation.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Name and Contact Information <input type="checkbox"/> FSC Sales Information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope of Certificate <input type="checkbox"/> Non-SLIMF FMUs <input type="checkbox"/> Social Information	<input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide and Other Chemical Use <input type="checkbox"/> Production Forests <input type="checkbox"/> FSC Product Classification <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation & High Conservation Value Areas <input type="checkbox"/> Areas Outside of the Scope of Certification

### Name and Contact Information

<b>Organization name</b>	Skogscertifiering Prosilva AB		
<b>Contact person</b>	Anneli Sandström		
<b>Address</b>	Klostergatan 2, S-753 21Uppsala, Sweden	<b>Telephone</b>	+46 (0) 18 46 06 60
		<b>Fax</b>	
		<b>e-mail</b>	info@skogscertifiering.se
		<b>Website</b>	www.skogscertifiering.se

### FSC Sales Information

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC Sales contact information same as above.			
<b>FSC salesperson</b>			
<b>Address</b>		<b>Telephone</b>	
		<b>Fax</b>	
		<b>e-mail</b>	
		<b>Website</b>	

### Scope of Certificate

<b>Certificate Type</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Single FMU		<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple FMU	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Group			
<b>SLIMF (if applicable)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Small SLIMF certificate		<input type="checkbox"/> Low intensity SLIMF certificate	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Group SLIMF certificate			
<b># Group Members (if applicable)</b>	1 256			
<b>Number of FMUs in scope of certificate</b>	1 256			
<b>Geographic location of non-SLIMF FMU(s)</b>	<i>Latitude &amp; Longitude:</i>			
<b>Forest zone</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boreal		<input type="checkbox"/> Temperate	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Subtropical		<input type="checkbox"/> Tropical	
<b>Total forest area in scope of certificate which is:</b> Units: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ha or <input type="checkbox"/> ac				
privately managed	147 183			
state managed				
community managed	88 192			
<b>Total forest area under scope of certificate:</b>	<b>235 375</b>			
<b>Number of FMUs in scope that are:</b>				
less than 100 ha in area	842	100 - 1000 ha in area	402	
1000 - 10 000 ha in area	9	more than 10 000 ha in area	3	
<b>Total forest area in scope of certificate which is included in FMUs that:</b> Units: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ha or <input type="checkbox"/> ac				
are less than 100 ha in area	36 478			
are between 100 ha and 1000 ha in area	98 692			
meet the eligibility criteria as <i>low intensity</i> SLIMF FMUs	135 170			
<b>Division of FMUs into manageable units:</b>				

--

**Non-SLIMF FMUs (Group or Multiple FMU Certificates)**

Name	Contact information	Latitude/ longitude of Non-SLIMF FMUs
Malungs kommun	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Transtrands besparingskog	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Lima besparingskog	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Mora Jordägare Samfällighet	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Arvid Callans	At Prosilva office	Stockholm
Östersunds kommun	At Prosilva office	Jämtland
Älvdalens kommun	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Fagersta kommun	At Prosilva office	Västmanland
Leksands kommun	At Prosilva office	Dalarna
Erik Callans	At Prosilva office	Stockholm
Per & Anders Egonson	At Prosilva office	Bohuslän
Brenäs skogar AB	At Prosilva office	Dalarna

**Social Information**

Number of forest workers (including contractors) working in forest within scope of certificate (differentiated by gender):		
Male workers: None of the forest owners in this year’s sample have any forest workers employed. All operations are done by contractors. Forest owner do not have any records on man-days or similar. Audit team has estimated that for the area of 190 000 ha, 6 000 person-days are contracted for silviculture and harvesting.	Female workers: #	
<b>Number of accidents in forest work since previous evaluation:</b>	Serious: No reports of accidents were encountered during the audit.	Fatal: # 0

**Pesticide and Other Chemical Use**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FME does not use pesticides.				
Commercial name of pesticide / herbicide	Active ingredient	Quantity applied since previous evaluation (kg or lbs.)	Total area treated since previous evaluation (ha or ac)	Reason for use

**Production Forests**

<b>Timber Forest Products</b>	<b>Units:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ha or <input type="checkbox"/> ac
Total area of production forest (i.e. forest from which timber may be harvested)	220 000
Area of production forest classified as 'plantation'	0
Area of production forest regenerated primarily by replanting or by a combination of replanting and coppicing of the planted stems	Most common
Area of production forest regenerated primarily by natural regeneration, or by a combination of natural regeneration and coppicing of the naturally regenerated stems	In suitable areas
<b>Silvicultural system(s)</b>	<b>Area under type of management</b>
Even-aged management	
Clearcut (clearcut size range 1-30)	220 000 ha
Shelterwood	
Other:	
Uneven-aged management	
Individual tree selection	
Group selection	
Other:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g. nursery, recreation area, windbreak, bamboo, silvi-pastoral system, agro-forestry system, etc.)	Recreation areas often part of production areas
<b>Non-timber Forest Products (NTFPs)</b>	
Area of forest protected from commercial harvesting of timber and managed primarily for the production of NTFPs or services	
Other areas managed for NTFPs or services	
Approximate annual commercial production of non-timber forest products included in the scope of the certificate, by product type	
<b>Species in scope of joint FM/COC certificate: (Scientific / Latin Name and Common / Trade Name)</b>	
Pinus silvestris (Scots pine), Picea abies (Norway spruce), Betula pendula/ Betula puberschens . (birch), Populus tremula (aspen)	

**FSC Product Classification**

<b>Timber products</b>		
<b>Product Level 1</b>	<b>Product Level 2</b>	<b>Species</b>
W1 Logs	W1.1 Roundwood	All
W1 Logs	W1.2 Fuel wood W1.3 Twigs	All
<b>Non-Timber Forest Products</b>		
<b>Product Level 1</b>	<b>Product Level 2</b>	<b>Product Level 3 and Species</b>

**Conservation and High Conservation Value Areas**

High Conservation Value Forest / Areas			Units: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ha or <input type="checkbox"/> ac
Code	HCV Type	Description & Location	Area
HCV1	Forests or areas containing globally, regionally or nationally significant concentrations of biodiversity values (e.g. endemism, endangered species, refugia).		
HCV2	Forests or areas containing globally, regionally or nationally significant large landscape level forests, contained within, or containing the management unit, where viable populations of most if not all naturally occurring species exist in natural patterns of distribution and abundance.		
HCV3	Forests or areas that are in or contain rare, threatened or endangered ecosystems.	Each of the 1 247 FMUs has at least 5% of the production forest set aside. This area can often be classified as HCV3. But HCV3-areas vary in sizes and figures are constantly updated by the Swedish Forest Agency.	11 700 ha in total. Figures included in each group member's FMP
HCV4	Forests or areas that provide basic services of nature in critical situations (e.g. watershed protection, erosion control).		
HCV5	Forests or areas fundamental to meeting basic needs of local communities (e.g. subsistence, health).		
HCV6	Forests or areas critical to local communities' traditional cultural identity (areas of cultural, ecological, economic or religious significance identified in cooperation with such local communities).		
<b>Total area of forest classified as 'High Conservation Value Forest / Area'</b>			<b>11 700</b>

**Areas Outside of the Scope of Certification (Partial Certification and Excision)**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>N/A – All forestland owned or managed by the applicant is included in the scope.</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Applicant owns and/or manages other FMUs not under evaluation.</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Applicant wishes to excise portions of the FMU(s) under evaluation from the scope of certification.</i>	
<b>Explanation for exclusion of FMUs and/or excision:</b>	



<b>Control measures to prevent mixing of certified and non-certified product (C8.3):</b>		
<b>Description of FMUs excluded from, or forested area excised from, the scope of certification:</b>		
<b>Name of FMU or Stand</b>	<b>Location (city, state, country)</b>	<b>Size (<input type="checkbox"/> ha or <input type="checkbox"/> ac)</b>

---